

SC.002 INFORMATION ON CHARLES HILL OF CRAVEN COUNTY SOUTH CAROLINA (LATER KNOWN AS CAMDEN DISTRICT & FINALLY FAIRFIELD COUNTY).

Compiled in August of 1988 by Robert R. Hill Sr. Updated in June 1990.

Source: Based on data from the book entitled "The Hill & Hill Moberley Connections of Fairfield County S.C.," by George A. Hill, data provided by Mrs. Christopher and my own research in the SC Archives in Columbia, SC, the Library & Clerk of Court in Charleston, SC and research by son Robert Jr. in June of 1990.

This document will deal only with the Charles Hill Family of old Craven County, South Carolina, which was later to include the areas of today's Chester & Fairfield County,

NOTE: There was a Charles Hill family in northern SC and in Rutherford Co., NC that apparently was part of the Richard Hill family of Sussex Co., Va. Such names as Abel, Asaph, Reuben were part of that line and is not related to the Charles Hill we are concerned with.

Robert R. Hill
Brandon, FL.

CHARLES HILL SR. 1/.

Information from the Council Journal Records, shows that this particular Charles Hill 1/ came from Pennsylvania with a wife and seven children. Later land deeds shows her name was Nancy.

Some researchers have tried to link the Charles Hill Family of Craven County to the Charles Hill Family, located in Charleston in the early 1700s, but it simply was not the same family. This Charles Hill 1/ was not the same Charles Hill 1/, who was referred to as Esquire in the land grants in 1732 and 1733 and who served in the General Assembly and who was a wealthy merchant of Charleston NOR was it his son, Charles Hill Jr. 2/, both of that city.

Information on land grants and Council records indicates that Charles Hill 1/ Esquire obtained land grants in 1732 and 1733, both in Craven County and was the one who lived in Charleston.

According to George A. Hill in his book "The Hill Moberley Connections of Fairfield County," the first record of this Charles Hill 1/ family (of Craven County) was a land grant to his son, William Augusta (sic) Hill 2/ on 4th September of 1764, when he was granted 100 acres on the North prong of

Wateree Creek, in Craven County S.C." Actually William Augustus Hill 2/ (wife Mary) received a land grant of 100 acres on 13 August 1762 on Bowers Mill Creek. (Book S, pages 12-13 Fairfield Co).

The Charles Hill 1/ that we are concerned with, also lived in the Upper Country, in what is today's Fairfield County, is stated in the Council Records (4th October 1749) to have come from Pennsylvania with a wife (Nancy) and seven children (all minors) and was granted 450 acres on the north side of the Broad River on 20th November 1749. This is the first record we have found on this Charles Hill 1/ (known as Senior).

Now it has been proven that William Augusta (Augustus?) Hill 2/ and Charles C. Hill Junior 2/ were indeed the sons of Charles Hill Sr. 1/ and wife Nancy. Who the other five children were is presently uncertain, but one suspected son is a Richard Hill 2/.

We know that Charles Hill Sr. 1/ was alive and had applied for a grant of 100 acres on the waters of the Wateree Creek on December 6th 1768. The actual grant was made on 25th February 1769 but the council ordered it given to his son, William Augustus Hill 2/, because Charles Hill Sr. had apparently died. Thus it appears that Charles Hill Sr. 1/ died between 6th December 1768 and 25th February 1769.

Land grants also show that in 1768 another CHARLES HILL 2/ had also obtained land adjacent to that of BOTH Charles Hill Sr. 1/ and his son William Augusta Hill 2/. Research shows that this was Charles C. Hill Jr. 2/, another son of Charles Sr. 1/, and whom is believed to be the Charles Hill 2/ that had a wife named Mary, and based on the bible record that once belonged to Moses Hill it appears to establish him as Charles C. Hill Jr. 2/.

Now there remains in the possession of family members to Mrs. Jeannette Christopher (descendent to Moses Hill via his 2nd child and son James Hill) a fragment of a page that is believed to have been part of the Family Bible to the Moses Hill Family (of Fairfield County) that states the following...

"Coz. (Cousin?) Mary Heyll (Hill?), departed this life on the 7th of June in the year of our Lord 1827-the wife of Charles C. Heyll (Hill)."

Another entry is "Elizabeth Sophia Heyll was born on the 5th day of April 1827."

Mrs. Christopher stated she thought this Elizabeth was a daughter of Charles C. Hill 2/ and wife Mary and that she felt they lived in another location. (No actual proof but the 1790 Census fails to show a Charles Hill in Fairfield County

or the surrounding area. If true, due to the birth of Elizabeth Sophia Hill, it would appear that Charles C. Hill Jr. 2/ was alive in late 1826 or early 1827). It should be noted that Mary Hill (the wife and suspected mother) died just about two months after the birth of Elizabeth Sophia Hill.

I am of the opinion that in the bible, the Charles C. Hill was actually Charles C. Hill Jr. 2/ that was the cousin to Moses Hill, and his (Charles') wife Mary (as is often the case with old southern families) was also referred to as "Cousin."

I know it to be an old "Southern Tradition" that when a man or woman married a cousin, they both were called cousin. The same applied to a person (aunt or uncle) marrying, both were called aunt or uncle. It was true in my own family and I believe this to be true in this case. If so it would indicate that Charles Hill Sr. was a brother to the still unknown and elusive father of Moses Hill.

Now since it has been established that Charles Hill Jr. 2/ was Charles C. Hill Jr, a son to Charles Sr. 1/, and that William Augustus Hill 2/ was also a son and heir to Charles Sr. 1/, we must consider the fact that a George AUGUSTA (sic) Hill (of Chester County which was once part of Craven County) belonged to this family primarily due to the fact that he and William had the same middle name, AUGUSTUS.

George Augusta Hill is the same George Hill that is listed in the 1790 Census of Chester County, S.C. as:

George Hill 1 male over 16 years of age (himself)
2 free white females (probably wife & daughter)

His name appears on two deeds, one was a deed between himself and John McCullough for 100 acres in Chester County, dated in April of 1794, originally granted on 23rd June 1774. (Chester Deed Book D, page 133). This date of grant is the same date that Charles Hill Jr. 2/ received a grant, leading to my suspucions that George was a son to Charles Hill Jr. 2/.

The other deed was between George A. Hill 3/ & Jane (his wife) when they sold 50 acres of land to John McGuire in September of 1794. (Chester Deed Book D, page 291).

In his book George A. Hill mentions that a "Burril Hill" and a George Hill were located in Rutherford County, North Carolina at the time of the 1790 Census. He suspects that the two were somehow related to the Charles Hill family (Jr.?) 2/. Since Burril Hill sold land (100 acres) in Fairfield County S.C. to Andrew McDowell that was originally granted to Charles Hill on 23rd June 1774, it is has to be Charles Hill Jr. 2/ (This land grant does not show up in the listing below).

The question is, was this the same George Augusta (Augustus) Hill 3/ later of South Carolina? I tend to agree with George Hill that Burril Hill & George Hill were indeed related to Charles Hill 1/ and were, I think, probably sons to Charles Hill Jr. 2/ (son of Charles Hill Sr. 1/), and they had either bought or inherited the land from their father.

Now according to George A. Hill in his book...in Fairfield County Deed Book L, page 146, filed 13th day of May 1798, a transaction took place between William Augustus Hill 2/ & Ely Kershaw. MY INTERPRETATION, after reviewing a copy of this document, is that the date was 13th May 1769, not 1798. It was in the ninth year of the reign of King George III.

This helps pin down the death of Charles Hill Sr. 1/ George Hill states he was dead prior to 26 July 1797 and this is apparently based on his interpretation of the above document being dated 1798 and not 1769 (as this writer does).

On the 13th May 1769, his son (William Augustus Hill 2/) sold this piece of inherited land to Ely Kershaw. The fact of the death of Charles Hill is clearly stated on the deed. It also shows that the land was originally granted on 22nd January 1759 (BOOK TT Page 50) to a John Griffin, who in turn sold it to Charles Hill on 7th June 1765. A COPY OF THIS DEED IS LISTED BELOW AS DEED NUMBER 2.

George Hill goes on to say that he thought that Charles Hill 1/ died prior to 26 July 1797. It appears he makes this statement based on the interpretation of the deed between William Augusta Hill 2/ & Ely Kershaw as being in 1798. AGAIN, after reviewing the deed, I say the date is 13th May 1769 not 1797.

In his book, George Hill states that no other heir is known of this Charles Hill 1/ (who by now is clearly established as Charles Hill Sr. 1/). We now know that a land grant in 1768, on Wateree Creek to a Charles Hill, indicated that there were two Charles Hills in the area. On this point we agree and the records name him as CHARLES HILL JR. 2/, indicating he was a son of the previous Charles Hill 1/ (known now as Senior).

Further study of land deeds confirms that the wife of this Charles Hill Sr. 1/ was named NANCY, and she signed a deed dated 4th December 1753 when she and husband Charles Hill 1/ sold for 250 pounds, 450 acres of land to Phillip Raiford. Said land was obviously granted to him on 20th November 1749. This was the original land grant to Charles Hill Sr. 1/ (See Listing of Grants Below).

The 1790 Census for the area of Fairfield County (which was known as part of Craven County and Camden District, fails to

show any Charles Hills listed, indicating that they were dead OR had moved out of the area. I tend to believe the later, that the Charles C. Hill 2/ Family (wife Mary) had moved to another area (as does Mrs. Christopher) BECAUSE, the Moses Hill Bible remains proves that the wife Mary died in 1827, and she is listed as "wife of Charles C. Hill."

LAND GRANTS TO MEMBERS OF THE CHARLES HILL FAMILY

(Reference to micro film (pages 3422-4329 Roll Number 2, found in the "Memorials Com." (Commonwealth?) Index found in the S.C. Department of Archives and History Alphabetical Index shows the following Land Grants for Craven County:

Note by Robert Hill: The figures in bold print at the end of each listing is labeled "Entry Nbrs" (Entry Numbers). This is a computerized listing index.

LAND GRANTS LISTED UNDER NAME OF CHARLES HILL:

"HILL, CHARLES, plat for 500 acres of land in Craven County, 1732,06/16" (or June 16, 1732). 009 003 0001 00201 01

HILL, CHARLES, plat for 440 acres of land in Craven County, 1733/04/05" (or April 5, 1733). 0009 003 0001 00201 02

The records of the Council Journal shows the above were for Charles Hill Esquire of Charleston.

Charles Hill Sr. 1/ (from Pennsylvania)

HILL, CHARLES, plat for 450 acres on the North side of Broad River (Craven County?) 1749/11/20 (or November 20, 1749).
0009 003 0005 00142 02

HILL, CHARLES SR., plat for 100 acres in Craven County, 1769/02/25 (or February 25th, 1769). 0009 003 0015 00440 03

HILL, CHARLES, plat for 100 acres in Craven County, 1769/02/25 (or February 25, 1769). 0009 003 0015 00440 03

NOTE: The last one is Charles Hill Jr. Any Charles Hill listed after December 6th 1768, in my mind, has to be Charles C. Hill Jr.

HILL, CHARLES, Memorial for 100 acres on Wateree Creek in Craven County, 1772/02/21 (or February 21, 1772).
0030 002 0011 00128 05

HILL, CHARLES, plat for 100 acres on Wateree Creek (in Craven County?) 1773/04/14 (or April 14, 1773).
0009 003 0015 00441 01

NOTE: In Fairfield County S.C. Deed Book N, page 419, recorded in 1802, there shows a deed between Burril Hill (of Rutherford County N.C. selling 100 acres to Andrew McDowell of Fairfield County), the land (100 acres) was originally granted to a CHARLES HILL on 23rd June 1774. This has to be Charles Hill Jr. as Senior was dead by 1769. The land was in today's Fairfield County but in 1774 would have been in Craven County. This particular grant is not listed among this data.

HILL, CHARLES, Memorial for 100 acres on Wateree Creek, Craven County, 1774/11/19 (or November 19, 1774). 0030 002 0013 00113 03

WILLIAM HILL'S THAT ARE LISTED FOR LAND GRANTS

HILL, WILLIAM, plat for 100 acres in Craven County, 1762/06/14 (or June 14, 1762). 0009 003 0007 00257 03

HILL, WILLIAM, Memorial for 100 acres on Bower's Mill Creek, Craven County. 1763/11/17 (or November 17, 1763). 0030 002 0014 00249 03

HILL, WILLIAM, plat for 100 acres on Bower's Mill Creek (Craven County?), 1763/10/19 (or October 19, 1763) 0009 003 0008 00349 02

HILL, WILLIAM Memorial for 100 acres on Bower's Mill Creek, Craven County, 1764/05/17 (or May 17, 1764). 0030 002 0006 00269 02

HILL, WILLIAM, plat for 250 acres in Craven County, 1769/10/02 (or October 2, 1769). 0009 003 0011 00124 02

HILL, WILLIAM, plat for 100 acres in Craven County, 1771/02/14 (or February 14, 1771). 0009 003 0017 00002 01

HILL, WILLIAM, Memorial for 100 acres on Bower's Mill Creek, Craven County, 1771/07/15 (or July 15, 1771) 0030 002 0010 00511 05

HILL, WILLIAM, Memorial for 350 acres in Ninety Six District, Craven County, 1775/02/01 (or February 1, 1775) 0030 002 0013 00268 05

HILL, WILLIAM, Memorial for 50 acres on Beaver Dam Branch and Broad River (Craven County?), 1775/07/11 (or July 11, 1775) 0030 002 0014 00003 06

LISTINGS OF GRANTS FOR RICHARD HILL:

HILL, RICHARD, Lot No. 4 in Craven County, 1735/09/03 (or September 3, 1735) 0009 003 0002 00297 00

HILL, RICHARD, plat for 100 acres in Craven County,
1764/07/04 (or July 4, 1764) 0009 003 0007 00461 01

HILL, RICHARD, plat for 50 acres in Craven County,
1768/11/16 (or November 16, 1768) 0009 003 0015 00447 02

Deed 1 shows Charles Hill Sr. 1/ selling his original land
grant of 450 acres to Philip Raiford.

DEED NUMBER ONE

Note: King George II (1683-1760) was King of England from
1727 until 1760. Depending on the exact date he ascended the
throne, the date of this deed agrees with it being in
the 27th year of his reign.

SOUTH CAROLINA)

This Indenture made the 4th day of December in the 27th year
of the reign of our sovereign LORD GEORGE the 2nd, by the
grace of God of Great Britain, France & Ireland, King
defender of the faith ANNO DOMINI 1753, between CHARLES HILL
of Craven County in the province of South Carolina, planter
and NANCY his wife of the one part and Phillip Raiford of the
same county and province of the other part, WITNESSETH that
for and in consideration of the sum of 250 pounds lawful
money of the province aforesaid from the said Phillip Raiford
to the said CHARLES HILL at or before the sealing and
delivery of these presents in hand well and truly paid the
full receipt whereof the said CHARLES HILL and NANCY his wife
doth hereby acknowledges and thereof and of every part and
parcel thereof doth fully and absolutely agree release and
discharge the said Phillip Raiford his heirs, executors and
administrators and defends by these presents the said CHARLES
HILL and NANCY his wife have bargained sold granted released
conveyed and confirmed by these presents do fully and
absolutely bargain sell grant release convey and confirm unto
the said Phillip Raiford in his actual possession more being
by viture of a bargain made to him thereof made by the said
CHARLES HILL and NANCY his wife for one whole year by an
indenture of release bearing date the day next before the
date of these presents (3rd December 1753?) to commence from
the day next before the day of the date hereof sealed and
delivered before the (day?) of sealing and delivery of these
presents and by force and viture of the statute for
transferring uses into possessions and to his heirs and
assigns all that tract of land containing 450 acres more or
less, lying and being on the North side of Broad River and
bounded on SW by the said river and on all other sides on
vacant lands and have such shapes form and marks as are
presented in the plat to the original grant annexed TOGETHER
with all and singular woods underwoods timber timber trees
lakes fishing water and water courses profits commodities
privileges appurtenances and hereditaments WHAT SO EVER to

the said tract of land respectively belonging or in any wise appertaining and the revision and revisions remainder and remainders rents fees and profits thereof as also the estate (right?) title interest property claims and demands WHAT SO EVER which the said CHARLES HILL and NANCY his wife (ever) had now hath on which they or their heirs hereafter ought might be or could have or had in law and equity into or out of the same together with the original grant other documents concerning the premises only TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the said tract of land containing 250 acres and all and singular other the premises herein before mentioned and warrant or intended to be hereby granted and released and every part and parcel thereof with their and every of their appurtenances unto him the said Phillip Raiford his heirs and assigns forever to the sole use of him the said Phillip Raiford his heirs and assigns forever and the said CHARLES HILL and NANCY his wife do for themselves their heirs and the said tract of land containing 450 acres and all and singular other the premises hereby granted released with their and every of their appurtenances unto the said Phillip Raiford his heirs and assigns and against all and all manner of persons () and will warrant forever defend by these presents and the said CHARLES HILL for himself his heirs doth convant promise and grant to and with the Phillip Raiford his heirs and assigns in manner and form all following that is to say that now at the time of the sealing and delivery of these presents he the said CHARLES HILL is and () lawfully seized in and of the said tract of land containing 450 acres and all and singular other the (said?) premises hereby granted and released and every part parcel thereof with their and every of their appurtenances of a good firm and absolute estate of inheritances in fee simple and shall in and himself good will full power and lawful authority to bargain sell grant and release the same unto the said Phillip Raiford his heirs and assigns forever in manner aforesaid and that for and not withstanding any act matter or thing WHAT SO EVER had made done committed or suffered or to be had made done committed or suffered to these contray (contrary?) by the said CHARLES HILL and NANCY his wife his heirs as it shall and maybe lawful to and for the said Phillip Raiford his heirs and assigns from time to time and at all times hereafter peaceably and quietly to have hold use occupy possess and enjoy the said tract of land containing 450 acres and all and singular of this the premises hereby granted and released and every part and parcel thereof with their and to any of their appurtenances without any lawsuit trouble hindrance molestation or interruption of him the said CHARLES HILL and NANCY his wife their heirs executors and administrators or any other person or persons WHAT SO EVER lawfully claiming or to be claimed by from or under him them or any of them and that (clearly?) will () discourage (excomonated?) and free of all other and former deeds, gifts, grants, bargains, sales, mortgages, dowries, judgements, claims, demands and incumbencies WHAT SO EVER the (contents?)

thereon reserved to the king's majesty and other reservations, promises and conditions mentioned in the original grant, IN WITNESS whereof the said parties to these presents have hereunto interchangeably set their hands and seals the day and year first above written. (4 December 1753).

signed/ CHARLES HILL LS
NANCY (>) HILL LS
her mark

Signed sealed and Delivered in the presence of us: John Lester. Francis Hamilton, Jacob (be) Busby.
his mark

Received the day and year first within written (4 Dec. 1753) of the within named Phillip Raiford the sum of 230 pounds current money of S.C. being the full consideration money within mentioned. I say received and paid me.

signed/ CHARLES HILL LS

Teste:

John Lester, Francis Hamilton and Jacob (be) Busby
his mark

BERKELEY COUNTY) MEMORANDUM that the 16th day of February 1754 before me Stephen Crell Esq. one of his majesty's Justices assigned to keep the peace in the county aforesaid appeared Jacob Busby who being sworn upon the Evangelist, made oath that he did see CHARLES HILL and his wife sign seal as their act and deed deliver the within release or instrument of writing to the purposes therein mentioned, that he did also did see this CHARLES HILL sign the receipt for the consideration money indorsed therein that he set his mark thereto as witness and also did see John Lester, Francis Hamilton set their hands as WITNESSES to both instruments.

Sworn before me

signed/ Stephen Crell J.P. Esq. LS

Recorded 3 June 1760

Note by R. Hill: This land was originally granted to Charles Hill Sr. on 20th November 1749 as listed in above land grants. Since Phillip Raiford has been listed as one of the early settlers of Fairfield County, it would appear that Charles Hill was also one of the very first to settle in the area.

Note: King George III (1738-1820) was King of England from 1760 until 1820. This deed (as stated) was in the 9th year of his reign.

(DEED NUMBER TWO)

THIS INDENTURE made the Thirteenth (13th) day of May in the year of our Lord One Thousand Seven Hundred and Sixty Nine and in the ninth year of the reign of our sovereign Lord GEORGE the Third (III) by the grace of God of Great Britain, France and Ireland, King defender of the faith and so forth BETWEEN WILLIAM AUGUSTA HILL of the Province of South Carolina in the county of Craven and parish of St. Marks of the one part, and ELY KERSHAW of Rocky Mount in the said county, merchant of the other part WITNESSETH that for and in consideration of the sum of two hundred and seventy one pounds (271 lbs.) current money of the province aforesaid to him the said WILLIAM AUGUSTA HILL in hand at and before the sealing and delivery of these presents by the said Ely Kershaw well and truly paid the receipt whereof the said WILLIAM AUGUSTA HILL doth hereby acknowledge and whereof and of every part and parcel thereof doth aquith (acquire?) release conviant and discharge the said Ely Kershaw his heirs, executors and administrators and every of them forever by these presents, he the said WILLIAM AUGUSTA HILL hath granted bargained sold and released and confirm and by these presents doth fully freely clearly and absolutely grant bargain sell alein release and confirm unto the said Ely Kershaw, in his actual possession now being by viture of a bargain and sale to him thereof made by the said WILLIAM AUGUSTA HILL for one whole year for the consideration of TEN SHILLINGS by an indenture of LEASE bearing date the day next before the day of the date of these presents (12th May 1769), to commence from the day next before the data hereof and sealed and delivered before the executing of these presents and also by forces of the statutes for transferring of uses into possessions in that case made and prescribed and unto his heirs and assigns forever a certain plantation or tract of land containing 200 acres situated on the North prong of Wateree Creek in the county aforesaid bound S.E.??? (BY?) Samuel Griffin's lands and on all other sides when laid out by vacant lands and hath shape and marks as appears by a plat (that) (?) to the grant annexed which was granted unto John Griffin under the hand of his Excellency Harvey Littleton Esq., Captain-General Governor of South Carolina, the great seal of the province for that purpose appointed bearing the date of 22nd day of January Anno Dommi 1759 duly recorded in the Secretary's Office of the province aforesaid in BOOK TT, PAGE 50 and by the said John Griffin the aforesaid 250 acres of land was sold and conveyed unto CHARLES HILL as appears by deed of Lease and Release dated the 7th day of June ANNO DOMMI 1765 and the said CHARLES HILL having departed this life and leaving his son and heir at law WILLIAM AUGUSTA HILL, (party of these presents) who hath sold and conveyed the aforesaid 250 acres of land unto the above Ely Kershaw TOGETHER with all and singular the gardens, orchards, fences, ways, watercourses, easements, profits, commodities, advantages, emoluments?, hereditaments and appurtenances WHAT SO EVER to the said 250 acres of land

belonging or in any wise appertaining, and the revision and revisions remainder and remainders, rents () and profits thereof, and of every part and parcel thereof and also the estate, right, title, interest, possession, property, profit, claim and demand WHAT SO EVER, either in law or equity which he the said WILLIAM AUGUSTA HILL now hath or ever had on which he or his heirs hereafter shall may can or ought to have of in to or out of the said 250 acres of land and of the premises with their and every of their appurtenances together with all deeds, plats, grants, charters, writings and (mumiments?) WHAT SO EVER which he the said WILLIAM AUGUSTA HILL now hath in his custody or possession or can come by without such (?) or equity that do only concern the premises TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the said 250 acres of land and all and singular other the premises hereby granted and released or meant or intended to be granted and released unto the said Ely Kershaw his heirs and assigns to their (possession?) and behoof of the said Ely Kershaw his heirs and assigns forever and the said WILLIAM AUGUSTA HILL for himself and his heirs the said premises hereby bargained, sold, granted, released or meant and intended to be bargained, sold, granted and released with their and every of their appurtenances unto the said Ely Kershaw his heirs and assigns against all and all manner of person or persons what so ever shall and will warrant and forever defend by these presents and the said WILLIAM AUGUSTA HILL for himself and his heirs executors and administrators doth convinant promise and agree, to and with the said Ely Kersahw his heirs and assigns by these presents in manner and form following, that is to say that he the said WILLIAM AUGUSTA HILL now at the time of the sealing and delivery of these presents is and standith lawfully and absolutely (levied?) of and in the said 250 acres of land and all and singular other the premises herein before mentioned and intended to be hereby granted and released and every part and parcel thereof with their and every of their appurtenances of a good sure perfect and absolute estate of inheritance in fee simple without any manner of conditions trust profits power of revision or limitation of any use or uses or other restraint matter or thing WHAT SO EVER to alter change charge defect or evict the same AND ALSO that the said WILLIAM AUGUSTA HILL now hath in himself good right, full power and lawful and absolute authority to grant release and confirm the said plantation or tract of land containing 250 ACRES and all and singular other the premises herein before mentioned and intended to be hereby granted and released and every part and parcel thereof with their and every of their appurtenances unto the said Ely Kershaw his heirs and assigns forever as aforesaid. AND ALSO that it shall and may be lawful to and for the said Ely Kershaw his heirs and assigns from time to time and at all times forever hereafter peaceably and quietly to enter into have hold occupy possess and enjoy the said plantation or tract of land containing 200 (250?) acres and all singular other the premises herein before mentioned and intended to be

hereby granted and released and every part and parcel thereof with their and every of their appurtenances without any lawful (?) suit trouble molestation eviction or interference of the said WILLIAM AUGUSTA HILL his executors or administrators to (us?) or any other person or persons WHAT SO EVER claiming or to claim by from or under him and that, free and clear lawfully clearly and absolutely () or conscrated (consecrated?) and discharged of and from all and all manner of former and other gifts, grants, bargains, sales, uses, wills, intails (entails?), jointures, dowers, judgements, executions, charges, and incumbencies WHAT SO EVER has made done committed or () by the said WILLIAM AUGUSTA HILL or any or any other person or persons WHAT SO EVER lawfully claiming or to claim by from or under him, AND LASTLY that the said WILLIAM AUGUSTA HILL, and his heirs, and all and every other person or persons lawfully claiming or to claim any estate right title trust or interest of in or to the said 200 ? (250) acres of land and all and singular other the premises herein before mentioned and intended to be hereby granted and released or any part or parcel thereof shall and will from and at all times hereafter at the reasonable and quiet and proper cost and charges in the land of the said Ely Kershaw his heirs and assigns make do acknowledge and execute or cause and promise to be made done acknowledge and executed all and every such further and other lawful and reasonable act and acts things conveyances and assurances in the law WHAT SO EVER for the further better and more perfect and absolute granting and conveying and assuring the said plantation or tract of 200 (250) acres of land and all and singular other the premises herein before mentioned and intended to be hereby granted and released and every part and parcel thereof with their and every of their appurtenances to and for the use and behoof of the said Ely Kershaw his heirs or assigns forever, as by him or them or by his or their (Coun---?) learned in the law shall be devised, or advised and required-IN WITNESS whereof the said parties to these presents have hereunto interchangeably set their hands and seals the day and year first above written..(13th May 1769)

signed by/ WILLIAM AUGUSTA (+) HILL (LS)
HIS MARK

Signed sealed and delivered in presence of us:
Samuel Boykin, William (\$) Waters, John (x) Gibson
his mark his mark

Witnesses:
Samuel Boykin, William (\$) Waters, John (x) Gibson
his mark his mark

CAMDEN DISTRICT)
KERSHAW COUNTY) Colonel Zachariah Cantz appeared before me
Richard Lloyd Champin, one of the justices assigned to the

keep the peace for the county aforesaid and made oath that he is well acquainted with the hand writing of Samuel Boykin, who hath subscribed his name as a witness to the within deed and to the above receipt and that he believes it to be the hand writing of the said Samuel Boykin...

signed/ Zachariah Cantz

Sworn to before me this 26th day of July 1798

R. L. Champion J.P.

Recorded 26th January 1798

(9/2) ???

Note By R. Hill: Reference to (9/2)??? Do not understand This nor why recorded so long after the sale.

(DEED NUMBER THREE)

TWO DIFFERENT LAND SALES (SAME PARCEL OF LAND) THE FIRST BY RICHARD HILL TO JANE ROGERS ON 5 APRIL 1788 (FAIRFIELD DEED BOOK B, PAGES 112-114).....THE SECOND BETWEEN THOMAS HILL AND JAMES KNOWLAND ON 15TH FEBRUARY 1798 (FAIRFIELD DEED BOOK S, PAGES 12-13)

Very important notes and questions at end of the documents.

* * * * *

THE FOLLOWING WILL SHOWS THAT JOSEPH ROGERS WAS SON OF JOHN ROGERS, AND THAT JANE ROGERS WAS NOT A CHILD OF JOHN ROGERS. WHAT WAS HER RELATION SHIP TO JOSEPH ROGERS ? I SUSPECT JANE WAS A DAUGHTER OF JOSEPH ROGERS.

WILL OF JOHN ROGERS, DECEASED, PROVED AND LETTERS TESTAMENTARY ORDERED TO HENRY ROGERS AND WILLIAM MOBERLEY, EXECUTORS, 9TH AUGUST 1790

FAIRFIELD WILL BOOK 1, PAGE 85 Will of John Rogers of Fairfield County, S.C., weak of body. Burial to be at the discretion of my dear wife and my executors. My land to be sold and to be equally divided between my Children, my son William, James, JOSEPH and Henry, Thomas and Richard, Frances and Mary. 14th June 1790. WILLIAM MOBERLEY & HENRY ROGERS to Executors.

(signed) JOHN (X) ROGERS

his mark

WILLIAM YOUNG
RICHARD JENKINS
REUBEN (X) MOBLEY
Recorded 18th Sept. 1790.

INDENTURE IS BETWEEN RICHARD HILL & JANE ROGER (SPINSTER).

INDENTURE NUMBER ONE
(FAIRFIELD DEED BOOK B. PAGES 112-114) DATED 5TH APRIL 1788

This Indenture made the 5th day of April in the year of our Lord 1788 and the 13th year of American Independence, BETWEEN RICHARD HILL and AGNES, his wife, of Fairfield County and State of South Carolina, planter of the one part and JANE ROGERS, spinster and weaver of the other part, WHEREAS in and by a certain grant, bearing date of 13th August 1762, in the second year of his majesty's reign, under the hand and seal of his Excellency THOMAS (BOONE)?, Captain, General and Governor and Chief over the Province of South Carolina, the great seal of said Province for (such?) purposes appointed, did give and grant unto WILLIAM HILL, a plantation or tract of land containing 100 acres, situate and lying on Bowers Mill Creek, in Craven County and State aforesaid above mentioned and bounding on all sides by vacant land and hath such shapes, forms and marks as appears by a plat thereof, to the said grant annexed as in and the said plat duly recorded in the Secretaries Office of the said Province, Reference being thereto had (having?) being more fully appeared...VOID THIS INDENTURE, WITNESSETH that the said WILLIAM HILL for and in consideration of the (sum?) of 100 pounds of good and lawfully money of the Province aforesaid, to him in hand well and truly paid by JOSEPH ROGERS before the sealing and delivery of these presents, the receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged and to be well contented, satisfied and paid, has granted, bargained, sold, aliened, RELEASED, revised, conveyed and contained, and by these presents, DO GRANT, BARGAIN, SELL, ALIENED, RELEASED, CONVEYED AND CONFIRMED unto the said JOSEPH ROGERS and RICHARD HILL doth grant and give unto JANE ROGERS NEW RIGHTS in her actual possession, now being by virtue of a bargain and made to here thereof, and for one whole year and by force of the statute for transferring of land unto possession and to her heirs (and assigns all and singular? that plantation or tract of land containing 100 acres together with all and singular the hereditaments, buildings, houses, stables, lands, gardens, pastures, woods and under woods, lumber and timber, trees, meadows, bushes, ponds, lakes, fishing ways, water and water courses, paths, bargains, liberties, privileges, profits, hereditaments, rights, members and appurtenances WHATEVER thereto belonging or in any wise appertaining and the provisions or concessions, remainder or remainders, rents, seizures, profits, thereof every part and parcel thereof and all (estate?) rights, titles, interest, uses, trust, possession, () () Benefits, claims, demands, WHAT SO EVER of (him?) the said RICHARD HILL of in or any of the name of (these?) presence and even past thereof and all deeds, (endues?), escapes, writings, WHAT SO EVER touching or concerning these premises, every part thereof TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the said plantation or tract of 100 acres of land as aforesaid, with every (part?) (of?) the premises and appurtenances thereunto belonging herein before Granted, Released, and Conveyed unto the said JANE ROGERS, her heirs and assigns () () the said RICHARD HILL, doth hereby for himself, his heirs, Executors, Administrators and

assigns, conveys, promised, agree to and within the said JANE ROGERS, her heirs and assigns in manner and form following VIZ (yet?) he the said RICHARD HILL will now is and until the Execution of these presents, shall stand, size and of a good sure perfect and Indefensible Estate of (Inheritance ?) in fee simple of and all the aforesaid plantation or tract of 100 acres land with the Rights, members and appurtenances WITHOUT any manner of trouble, conditions, mortgages, Judgements, Execution or Incumbencies WHAT SO EVER to (alter?) change or (determine?) the same and also that the said JANE ROGERS, her heirs and assigns, shall and may from time to time and at all times hereafter Peaceably and Quietly hold use. occupy, possess, enjoy the said plantation or tract of 100 acres of land with every (one?) of the appurtenances and premises thereunto belonging without any manner of (trouble?) hindrance or molestation, interruptions and denial of him the said RICHARD HILL, his heirs and assigns and shall and every other person or persons WHAT SO EVER and lawfully (claiming?) said RICHARD HILL for himself, his heirs, Executors and Administrations of said plantation or tract of land, 100 acres, with said premises and appurtenances unto the said JANE ROGERS, her heirs and assigns against him the said RICHARD HILL, his heirs and assigns and all and every other person or persons WHAT SO EVER shall and will warrant (?) and defend by these presents. IN WITNESSETH whereof the (said?) (land?)??? my wife have hereunto set our hands and seals this day and year first above written.

(signed) RICHARD (x) HILL

his mark

AGNES (x) HILL

her mark Signed,

Sealed and Delivered in the Presence of us: JOHN HAMOND JOHN MCDANIEL

Declared by the within named JANE ROGERS the full contents of the within named purchased money by us, this 2nd day of January 1788.

(signed) RICHARD (x) HILL

his mark

AGNES (x) HILL

her mark

JOHN HAMOND

JOHN MCDANIEL

Proved 14th May 1788 in open court by John Hamond and John McDaniel and delivered (by or to?) Jane Rogers
L. EVANS (Clerk of Court)

NOTES & QUESTIONS RELATING TO THE ABOVE DEED....

APPARENTLY WILLIAM AUGUSTA HILL ORIGINALLY RECEIVED THIS 100 ACRES OF LAND BY A GRANT ON 13TH AUGUST 1762.

WILLIAM AUGUSTA HILL WAS SON OF CHARLES HILL SR.(Reference: FAIRFIELD DEED BOOK L, PAGE 146; SHOWING CHARLES HILL LEFT SOME LAND TO SON WILLIAM AUGUSTA HILL).

A PAGE FROM MOSES HILL'S BIBLE STATES: "COZ. (COUSIN) MARY HEYLL (HILL) DEPARTED THIS LIFE 7TH OF JUNE 1827-WIFE OF CHARLES C. HEYLL (HILL)."

ON PAGE 113 OF HILL-MOBLEY BOOK, IT STATES THAT CHARLES HILL SR. DIED PRIOR TO JULY 26, 1797, LEAVING LAND TO SON WILLIAM AUGUSTUS HILL. WHICH OF THE TWO CHARLES HILLS WAS MENTIONED IN THE BIBLE REMAINS? WAS IT CHARLES HILL SR. OR CHARLES HILL JR. ?

I am of the opinion that it was Charles Hill Sr., who died ca. December 1767 or January 1768, and the one mentioned in the bible was his son, Charles C. Hill Jr., his wife being Coz. Mary Hill, who is mentioned in the bible remains).

I SUGGEST THAT CHARLES C. HILL (MENTIONED IN THE MOSES HILL BIBLE) WAS PROBABLY CHARLES HILL JR., AND THEREFORE CHARLES HILL SR. WAS THE FATHER OF CHARLES C. HILL JR.

I THEREFORE WOULD SUSPECT THAT CHARLES HILL SR. HAD A BROTHER (THOMAS, MOSES, JAMES ETC ??) WHO WAS THE FATHER OF MOSES HILL, THUS MOSES AND CHARLES C. HILL JR. WOULD BE COUSINS.

SHOULD THIS BE THE CASE THEN CHARLES HILL SR. WAS THE UNCLE TO MOSES, THOMAS & LEONARD HILL.

THE FINAL AND BIG QUESTION....WHO WAS THE FATHER OF MOSES, THOMAS & LEONARD ?

Note: Of the three Thomas Hills in and around Fairfield County, this complier feels that it is the Thomas Hill that purchased land from Leonard Hill that probably is a brother to our Moses Hill & Leonard Hill. I believe this Thomas Hill was a 2nd cousin to the above named Richard Hill, and the three brothers (Thomas, Moses & Leoanrd) were cousins to Charles C. Hill Jr., William Augusta Hill.

This Thomas Hill is the same one that bought Leonard Hill's land in 1788, just prior to Leoanrd moving to Kentucky. When Thomas later sold this land his wife Judith signed the deed.

Now from a tax list of Camden District, somewhere in my files, showed that a Thomas Hill Sr was dead by 1792 but I can not find it right now.

THE SECOND INDENTURE BETWEEN THOMAS HILL & JAMES KNOWLAND.

THIS IS A LAND SALE BY THOMAS HILL AND WIFE JUDITH OF FAIRFIELD CO, SC TO JAMES KNOWLAND IN 1798. IT WAS SIGNED BY MOSES HILL, WHO WAS A JUSTICE OF PEACE.

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA) Know all men by these presents, that I THOMAS HILL of the County of Fairfield and State aforesaid, for and in consideration of ten (10) pounds sterling, to me paid by JAMES KNOWLAND of the sate and county aforesaid, have granted, bargained and sold and released and by these presents, do hereby grant, bargain, sell and release unto the said JAMES KNOWLAND, all that parcel of land containing 50 acres be the same more or less being the South part of 100 acres which was granted to WILLIAM HILL but transferred from RICHARD HILL, son and heir of law of said WILLIAM HILL, to JANE RODGERS-also conveyed from JANE RODGERS unto SHADRICK KNOWLAND, the 27th day of September 1788, also conveyed from said SHADRICK KNOWLAND to THOMAS HILL, the 16th day of March 1790, said land situate on BOWERS MILL CREEK, in Craven, now Fairfield County, beginning on a stake? ALEXANDER CHESTNUT'S corner upon the West original line of the whole (land??) and running NORTH 71 (degrees?) EAST (?) LITTLE RIVER, to a small stream, thence up the small stream to the original EAST line, bounded by ALEXANDER CHESTNUT'S land, being part of the same survey, thence SOUTHWARD on the original line, to the original corner, thence WESTWARDLY on the original line (bounding?) LITTLE RIVER, to the original corner, thence on the original line NORTHWESTERLY to the beginning staked corner, for complement of 50 acres of the same, more or less, together with all and singular, the rights, members (two words not legible) and appurtenances to the said premises belonging or in any wise (word not legible) or appertaining TO HAVE AND TO HOLD, all and singular the said premises before mentioned unto the said JAMES KNOWLAND, his heirs and assigns forever, and I do hereby bind myself, my heirs, Executors, Administrators to warrant and forever defend all and singular the said premises unto the said JAMES KNOWLAND, his heirs and assigns forever against myself, my heirs and against all every other person or persons WHO SO EVER lawfully claiming or to claim the same or any part thereof, IN WITNESS whereof the said THOMAS HILL have hereunto set my hand and seal the 15th day of February in the year of our Lord 1798, in the 22nd year of the Independence of the United States of America.

(SIGNED) THOMAS HILL

Signed, Sealed and Delivered in presence of SAMUEL CROWDER, JAMES KNOWLAND and JOHN GUINN?? of the day and year written of the within named JAMES KNOWLAND the full sum of 10 pounds sterling, it being the consideration of the within mentioned (money) received by me.

(SIGNED) THOMAS HILL

SOUTH CAROLINA)
FAIRFIELD DIST.) Memorial that on the 15th day of February

1798, personally appeared SAMUEL CROWDER, who after being duly sworn as the law directs, sayeth that he was present and did see the mentioned THOMAS HILL sign, seal and as his act did deliver the within deed or instrument of (word not legible) to JAMES KNOWLAND for the use and purposes herein mentioned and also saw THOMAS HILL sign the receipt herein for the consideration within mentioned and also saw JAMES KNOWLAND and JOHN GRUIN (sign) their names as witnesses with himself to the same.

(SIGNED) SAMUEL CROWDER

Sworn to and subscribed the day and year above mentioned, (15 Feb. 1798) before me.

(SIGNED) MOSES HILL J.P.

SOUTH CAROLINA, FAIRFIELD COUNTY...I ARROMANEL LILES, one of the Judges of the County aforesaid, do certify JUDITH HILL, wife of THOMAS HILL came before me and upon being questioned personally and (word not legible) examined by me, did declare that she did fully and voluntarily without and dread, fear of any person WHOM SO EVER, relinquish her claim and right of dower the within mentioned tract of land unto the hand of JAMES KNOWLAND, given under my hand and seal, the 14th day of February 1798.

(SIGNED) JUDITH (x) HILL
her mark

(SIGNED) ARROMONOS LILES J.P.

Recorded 27th August 1800.

NOTE OF EXPLANATION:

THESE TWO LAND SALES ARE RELATED IN THE FACT THAT IT IS THE SAME LAND...

THE HISTORY OF THIS LAND SHOWS THAT IT WAS ORIGINALLY GRANTED TO WILLIAM AUGUSTUS (AUGUSTA) HILL, WHO LEFT IT TO SON RICHARD HILL. RICHARD HILL THEN SOLD THE 100 ACRES TO JANE ROGERS (FIRST INDENTURE ON 5 APRIL 1788). A FEW MONTHS LATER, JANE ROGERS SOLD TO SHADRICK NOLAND (KNOWLAND?) ON 27TH SEPTEMBER 1788. SHADRICK NOLAND (KNOWLAND?) THEN SOLD TO THOMAS HILL ON 16TH MARCH 1790. FINALLY THOMAS HILL SELLS TO JAMES KNOWLAND (THIS DEED).

QUESTIONS & SPECULATIVE ANSWER

QUESTION: How (IF ANY) was the above Thomas Hill, (wife Judith), who bought land from Leonard Hill in 1788, related to Richard Hill, his father William Augustus Hill and William's father, Charles C. Hill Sr.? How about Moses Hill?? How was Moses related?

SPECULATIVE ANSWER: If Moses, Thomas and Leonard were brothers then it certainly appears (based on the Moses Hill Bible remains) that Charles C. Hill Jr. was a cousin of

their's. If this be the case then Charles Hill Sr., the father of William Augustus Hill, would appear to be the uncle to Moses, Thomas & Leonard Hill, in other words he would be a brother to the unknown father of Moses, Thomas & Leonard Hill. Moses, Leonard & Thomas would be first cousins to William Augusta Hill, & Charles C. Hill Jr.

George Augusta Hill & Burrill Hill would then be 2nd cousins to Moses, Leonard & Thomas Hill.

WHAT IS OF GREAT INTEREST HERE IS THIS... THOMAS HILL IS THE SAME MAN WHO ON 26 MAY 1788, PURCHASED 82 ACRES FROM LEONARD HILL, (SEE FAIRFIELD DEED BOOK G, PAGES 67-69). LEONARD HILL MOVED TO CLARK COUNTY KENTUCKY VERY SHORTLY AFTERWARDS.

OTHER INTERESTING QUESTIONS ARE: WERE THE LANDS THAT THOMAS HILL PURCHASED FROM LEONARD HILL ADJACENT?

EVIDENCE TO SUPPORT MOSES AND LEONARD WERE BROTHERS IS:

Leonard Hill did name a son Moses Hill in Kentucky, thus it seems to support the theory that he and Moses Hill (of Fairfield County S.C.) were brothers. It also could be the name of THEIR FATHER! Leonard and Moses also had daughters named Sarah, and Elizabeth. Leonard named a daughter Mary while Moses did not.

THE MOTHER OF MOSES HILL, SON OF LEONARD, WAS NAMED RACHEL DUNSFORD. (REFERENCE CLARK CO KY. WILL OF LEONARD HILL DATED 4 JULY 1825). LEONARD ALSO NAMES SUSANNAH DUNSFORD AS MOTHER OF SOME OF HIS OTHER CHILDREN BUT NOWHERE IN HIS WILL DOES LEONARD ACKNOWLEDGE EITHER AS A WIFE. HIS WIFE IN FAIRFIELD COUNTY SIGNED THE LAND SALE TO THOMAS HILL AND HER NAME WAS ELIZABETH.

BOOK D, PAGE 133 CHESTER COUNTY DEEDS
An Indenture between John McCullough and GEORGE AUGUSTA HILL of Chester County S.C., 100 acres on Branch of Rocky Creek, bounded by Samuel McCullough, James Crawford and Alexander Crawford. Dated 23 June 1774. WAS GEORGE AUGUSTA HILL A BROTHER OF WILLIAM AUGUSTA HILL OF FAIRFIELD COUNTY, AS MENTIONED ABOVE?

SPECIAL NOTE : Brent Holcomb, when researching for me, found a Thomas Hill of Surry Co., Virginia purchased land (in Va.) from a Burwell Hill of South Carolina....no date given.

CHARLES C. HILL (JR.) & WIFE MARY

Reference to the Bible page found that once belonged to the Moses Hill Family and provided by Jeannette Christopher.

George A. Hill was unable to distinguish between Charles Hill Sr. and Jr. but later research has proved us with a clue that I feel enable us to determine that Charles C. Hill and who's wife was "Coz Mary Heyll (Hill)" mentioned in the bible of Moses Hill, is in fact Charles Hill Jr. 2/. This appears obvious since Charles Hill Sr. 1/ died by March-April of 1769.

Mrs. Christopher in a letter has indicated to me, and supported by the fact that no Charles Hill is listed in the 1800 Census, that Charles C. Hill 2/ and wife Mary were no longer living in the area of Fairfield County S.C., but as to where they had moved has not been determined. From the bible record we know that his wife Mary (Heyll) Hill died on 6 June 1827.

Now since Charles C. Hill 2/ had obtained a land grant dated 28th November 1771 and sold the same land on 2nd October 1772, would indicate that Charles Hill Jr. 2/ was at least 21 years of age in 1771, meaning his birth was 1750 or earlier.

NOTE: S.C. Archives states a person had to be 21 years of age to receive a land grant in these times.

Below is a copy of the Indenture, showing Charles Hill (Jr.) 2/ selling the above land to William Tidwell on 2nd October 1772. (Listed as Deed Number 2).

DEED NUMBER TWO

Note: The original grant was dated 28th November 1771 and this appears (depending on the exact date he became king) to agree that King George III was king from 1760 until 1820, and the land was granted in his 12th year as king (as stated).

FAIRFIELD DEEDS, VOLUME H, Pages 83-85

This Indenture made the 2nd day of October in the year of our Lord One Thousand Seven Hundred & Seventy Two (1772) and in the 12th year of his majesty's reign between CHARLES HILL and MARY his wife of the Parish of St. Marks in Craven County in the Province of South Carolina, planter of the one part and William Tidwell of the Parish and County aforesaid and in said Carolina, planter of the second part, WHEREAS in and by a certain grant bearing the date of 28th day of November in the year of our Lord 1771 and in the Twelfth year of his majesty's reign under the hands of his Excellency Charles G Montague, Captain General and Governor in Chief in and over the province of South Carolina and the great seal of the province for that purpose appointed, did give and grant unto CHARLES HILL a plantation or tract of land containing 100 acres situate lying on and being on Wateree Creek in the Parish of St. Marks, Craven County, bounded NW by land laid out to WILLIAM HILL and SW by land surveyed for CHARLES HILL SR., the other sides by vacant land and hath such shapes

forms and marks as appears by a plat thereof, to the said grant (annexed?) and (announced?) as (issued?) by the said plat and grant duly recorded in the Secretary's Office of the said province reference thereto had may more fully appear, Now this indenture WITNESSETH that this the said CHARLES HILL for and in consideration of the sum of 150 pounds lawful current money of the province aforesaid, to him in hand well and truly paid by the said William Tidwell at and before the sealing and delivery of the presents, the receipt whereof he doth truly acknowledge and be well content satisfy and paid, have granted bargained sold aliened (remitted?) released conveyed confirmed and by these presents do grant bargain sell remiss release convey and confirm unto the said William Tidwell in his actual possession now being by virture of a bargain and sale to him thereof made for one whole year and by forces of the statutes for transferring uses into possessions and to his heirs assigns forever all the said plantation or tract of land of 100 acres together with all and singular the houses out houses edificies buildings barns stables yards gardens orchards woods underwoods timber and timber trees meadows pastures ponds lakes fishing ways waters water courses paths passages liberties privileges profits hereditaments rights members and appurtenances WHAT SO EVER there unto belonging or in any wise appertaining of the revision and revisions, remainder and remainders rents dues or profits thereof every part and parcel whereof and all the estate rights title interest use trust possessions property profit benefits claim demand WHAT SO EVER of him the said CHARLES HILL of in of into or out of the same premises of every part thereof and all deeds evidences excerpts and writings WHAT SO EVER touching or concerning the same premises and every part thereof TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the said plantation or tract of 100 acres of land as aforesaid with every promise and appurtenances thereunto belonging herein before granted released and conveyed unto the said William Tidwell his heirs and assigns forever to the only proper and absolute use behoof of the said William Tidwell his heirs and assigns of the said CHARLES HILL and his wife doth hereby for themselves their heirs executors and assigns covenant promise and agree to and with the said William Tidwell his heirs and assigns in manner and form following that is to say that he the said CHARLES HILL now is and until the execution of these presents shall (stand?) sured of as good sure perfect and indefensible estate of inheritance in fee while of and in all the aforesaid plantation or tract of 100 acres of land with the rights members and appurtenances without any manner of condition, trust, mortgages, judgements, execution or incumbencies WHAT SO EVER to alter change or determine the same and also that the said William Tidwell his heirs and assigns shall and may from time to time and at all times hereto peaceably and quietly have hold use occupy possess and enjoy this plantation or tract of 100 acres of land with every the premises and appurtenances thereunto belonging with out any manner of trouble, hindrance, molestation,

interruptions, denial of him the said CHARLES HILL his heirs and assigns and of on and every other person or persons WHAT SO EVER and lastly the said CHARLES HILL and his wife for themselves their heirs, executors, administrators the said plantation or tract of land of 100 acres with the premises and appurtenances unto the said JOHN ?? (WILLIAM?) TIDWELL his heirs and assigns of all and every other person or persons WHAT SO EVER shall and will warrant and forever defend by these presents IN WITNESS whereof we have hereunto set our hand and seal the day and year above (2 October 1772).

his
signed by/ CHARLES (CH) HILL (LS)
mark
her
MARY (X) HILL (LS)
mark

Signed sealed and Delivered in the presence of us:

William Kelly
William (WB) Davis
his mark

Received the day and year within written the sum of 150 pounds current money it being the full consideration money in mentioned I say received of me.

signed by/ CHARLES (CH) HILL (LS)
his mark

William Kelly
Wm. (wb) Davis
his mark

MEMORANDUM that on the 2 day of October 1772 personally appeared before me John Winn, one of his majesty's Justices assigned to keep the peace in Craven County, William Davis and made oath that he was present and did see the within named CHARLES HILL and his wife MARY HILL sign seal and as their act of did deliver this within release or instrument of writing for the use and purposes in mentioned and did see CHARLES HILL sign the receipt for the consideration money and that the other witnesses saw the same.

signed by/ William (WB) Davis
his mark

Sworn before me the date above:
John Winn J.P.

Recorded 29th October 1772

END OF DOCUMENT